UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

RICHARD CARLOCK, as Personal Representative for the ESTATE OF CLARENCE SAUNDERS.

Plaintiff,

vs.

Case No. 04-CV-72025 HON. GEORGE CARAM STEEH

ARDESHIR EMAMI SAID, M.D., and W. HART, R.N.,

Defendants.

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME (#21) AS MOOT

AND PERMITTING PLAINTIFF TO REOPEN THIS CASE
ON OR BEFORE NOVEMBER 11, 2005 THROUGH THE FILING OF
A NOTICE OF APPEARANCE BY COUNSEL AND A MOTION TO REOPEN, AND;
DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL (#22);

This court ordered on September 8, 2005 that this lawsuit would be dismissed without prejudice if an appearance by counsel on behalf of plaintiff Estate was not filed on or before October 24, 2005. See Shenkman v. Bragman, 261 Mich. App. 412, 682 N.W.2d 516 (2004) (affirming dismissal of Michigan wrongful death action filed by non-attorney personal representative as unauthorized practice of law). An appearance on behalf of plaintiff Estate was not filed on or before October 24, 2005, and the court dismissed this matter without prejudice on October 25, 2005.

Plaintiff Estate's Personal Representative nonetheless filed a motion on October 24, 2005 (docketed on October 25, 2005) to extend the time for securing new counsel, and for the appointment of counsel. The motion for an extension of time has been rendered moot by the dismissal of this lawsuit. However, in the interests of justice, plaintiff Estate may move to reopen this suit on or before November 11, 2005 through the filing of an

2:04-cv-72025-GCS-RSW Doc # 25 Filed 10/26/05 Pg 2 of 2 Pg ID 106

appearance by an attorney on behalf of plaintiff Estate and a motion to reopen under

authority of this Order. The Personal Representative's motion for appointment of counsel

is without merit in the absence of exceptional circumstances. See Lavado v. Keohane,

992 F.2d 601, 606 (6th Cir. 1993). Accordingly,

Plaintiff's motion for an extension of time is hereby DENIED as MOOT. Plaintiff

Estate may move to reopen this lawsuit without incurring additional costs or fees through

the filing of an appearance by an attorney on behalf of plaintiff Estate and a motion to

reopen on or before November 11, 2005. Plaintiff's counsel shall cite this Order as

authority for reopening this case. Failure to timely move to reopen this lawsuit as set forth

herein will require plaintiff to file a new lawsuit to pursue its claims.

Plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel is hereby DENIED.

SO ORDERED.

s/George Caram Steeh

GEORGE CARAM STEEH

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: October 26, 2005

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Copies of this Order were served on the attorneys of record on October 26, 2005, by

electronic and/or ordinary mail.

s/Josephine Chaffee

Secretary/Deputy Clerk

2